

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 382 852

CE 068 994

AUTHOR Hodges, Jane; And Others
TITLE Improving Literacy Skills of Juvenile Detainees.
Juvenile Justice Bulletin.
INSTITUTION Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. Office of
Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.
REPORT NO NCJ-150707
PUB DATE Oct 94
CONTRACT 91-JS-CX-0002; 91-JS-CX-0003
NOTE 7p.
PUB TYPE Reports - Evaluative/Feasibility (142)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Correctional Education; Demonstration Programs;
*Juvenile Justice; Learning Motivation; *Literacy
Education; Models; *Phonics; Prisoners; Program
Development; Program Implementation; Secondary
Education

ABSTRACT

The Office of Juvenile and Delinquency Prevention funded a model designed to improve the literacy level of youth in juvenile detention and correctional facilities. The model specified training language arts teachers and relevant staff and volunteers in direct instruction methods for rapid improvement of students' comprehension, particularly for those with reading disabilities. In 1991, competitive grants were awarded to the Mississippi University of Women (MUW) and the Nellie Thomas Institute (NTI)--both experienced in using intensive systematic phonics with at-risk youth and young adults. NTI noted significantly increased skills in composition, vocabulary, mechanics, and spelling for 75 percent of participants (young adult inmates at the Soledad Penitentiary). MUW had similar experiences working with inmates in the Mississippi prison system. Educators (teachers and volunteers) representing 12 states were trained. In three states, the juvenile correctional officials agreed to release results of their phonics instruction. Significant strides were accomplished and reported by MUW, NTI, and two sites in Ohio. Designed to teach illiterate youth to read and write, these programs offered a nontraditional, motivational approach that provided students with immediate positive feedback and then encouraged them to strive for success. The programs used a progression of logically sequenced, multisensory lessons. A large part of the curriculum focused on development, integration, and application of phonics. (Contact persons and addresses are listed.) (YLB)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *



JUVENILE JUSTICE BULLETIN

John J. Wilson, Acting Administrator

October 1994

Improving Literacy Skills of Juvenile Detainees

Jane Hodges, Ed.D., Nancy Giuliani, and F.M. Porpotage II

A characteristic of juveniles incarcerated in correctional and detention facilities is their poor experience with elementary and secondary education. For many, difficulties in reading underlie their poor academic achievement. However, it has been demonstrated that with effective instruction the reading levels of incarcerated youth can improve dramatically.

Rolf Loeber and colleagues at the Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic of the University of Pittsburgh Medical School note a link between reading failure and delinquency:

Both school performance, whether measured by reading achievement or teacher-rated reading performance, and retention in grade (i.e., being held back) relate to delinquency... The relationship between reading performance and delinquency appears even for first graders. Likewise, retention in grade associates with delinquency even for first graders. Delinquency is more likely for

African-American males than for white males after adjusting for the effect of performance level and retention.¹

The Problem

A substantial number of youth held in juvenile detention and correctional facilities are experiencing reading problems. A significant number—those reading below the fourth-grade level—are deemed functionally illiterate. Upon their release from confinement, these youth will experience great difficulty in achieving and competing in today's increasingly technological world.

The latest assessment of reading levels of incarcerated youth was conducted by

¹ Loeber, Rolf, et al., *Urban Delinquency and Substance Abuse Initial Findings Report*. Washington, D.C.: Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention. 1993, p. 15.

Project READ in 1978. The study of 2,670 juvenile offenders, funded by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), found that the average student, while 15 years, 6 months of age at the time of testing and in the ninth grade, was reading at a fourth-grade level. "Thirty-eight percent of all students scored below fourth grade."²

The Plan

OJJDP sought to fund a model that was designed to improve the literacy level of youth in juvenile detention and correctional facilities by training language arts teachers and relevant staff and volunteers in direct instruction methods to rapidly improve students' comprehension, particularly for those with reading disabilities. Direct instruction methods

² *To Make a Difference*. Silver Spring, MD: READ, Inc., 1978, p. 27.

From the Administrator

The average reading ability of youth confined in correctional institutions is at the fourth-grade level. As literacy has long been the foundation of a sound education, it is not surprising that many juvenile detainees have experienced serious academic difficulties.

The effects of such failures on the fragile self-esteem of adolescents are evident.

Low self-esteem yields minimal motivation for academic achievement, and the tragic cycle continues.

The encouraging news is that proper pedagogy can produce significant improvement in reading skills—and in relatively short order. Fewer than 71 hours of instruction can result in an average gain in reading comprehension of 7 to 12 months.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

© This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
© Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

* Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

This bulletin describes innovative, phonics-based programs that have proven successful in combating functional illiteracy and its adverse aftermath within our juvenile corrections system.

We trust you will find this information useful in your efforts to promote literacy.

John J. Wilson
Acting Administrator

use high levels of student engagement, and teacher-directed classrooms use sequenced structured materials appropriate for the student's ability.

In 1991 competitive grants were awarded to the Mississippi University of Women (MUW), in Columbus, and the Nellie Thomas Institute (NTI), in Monterey, California. Both grantees were experienced in using intensive systematic phonics¹ with at-risk youth and young adults. NTI had been teaching phonics to young adult inmates at the Soledad Penitentiary in California. The results were dramatic. Significantly increased skills in composition, vocabulary, mechanics, and spelling were noted for 75 percent of the participants. Moreover, the inmates demonstrated a newly found self-esteem and improved self-image. MUW had similar experiences working with inmates in the Mississippi prison system.

Since the grants were awarded, educators (teachers and volunteers) representing a dozen States⁴ have been trained. In three States, the juvenile correctional officials agreed to release results of their phonics instruction. Student progress was measured by the Silvaroli Reading Inventory and other widely used means of measuring literacy skills.

In Mississippi, 192 males, ages 14 through 19, participated in the MUW project at facilities of the State Division of Youth Services. Tables 1 and 2 indicate their academic gains in grade equivalent scores based upon a 9-month school year. Statistical analysis indicates the posttest mean was significantly higher than the pretest mean for each area tested.

¹As defined by Michael Brunner, phonics consists of teaching beginners to read and pronounce words by learning the letter and sound association of individual letters, letter groups, and especially syllables as well as the principles governing these associations. Brunner, *Retarding America: The Imprisonment of Potential*. Portland, OR: Halcyon House, 1993, p.133.

⁴Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, and Washington.

Table 1

**Mean Spelling and Reading Gains, 1992-1993
Williams School—Oakley Campus
Raymond, Mississippi**

Year	Spelling*	Word Recognition*	Oral Reading*	Reading Comp.**	Total Reading**	Instruction Time
1992	5 months	8 months	7 months	7 months	6 months	38 hours
N=78						
1993	4 months	7 months	1 year	1 year	7 months	71 hours
N=84						

Table 2

**Mean Spelling and Reading Gains, 1993
East Columbia High School
Columbia, Mississippi**

Year	Spelling*	Word Recognition*	Oral Reading*	Reading Comp.**	Total Reading***	Instruction Time
1993	1 month	1 year	1 year + 1 month	1 year	6 months	42 hours
N=30						

* Silvaroli Classroom Reading Inventory

** Stanford Reading Achievement Tests

*** Peabody Individual Achievement Tests

Only students who scored at the third-grade level or below in reading and language arts were enrolled in the project. Each participant had attended public school. Most had been in school for at least 7 years and were still unable to read, spell, or write at a level regarded as literate. However, after 38 to 71 hours of instruction, the average gains in reading comprehension were between 7 months and 1 year. This achievement is noteworthy, particularly in view of the relatively small amount of instruction time.

One measure of students' improvement can be seen in before-and-after writing samples. Penmanship is taught and final drafts are written in the student's best penmanship. The samples of students' writing in the following figures illustrate not only improvements in writing, but in attitude as well; another dividend of this approach.

Significant strides were also accomplished and reported by NTI after only 40 hours of phonics instruction at a site in Washington State and two sites in Ohio, as illustrated by Table 3.

Figure 1
Student Writing Sample—Before Instruction

9/2/93
Michael

Fear

Fear is like something that can stop you from doing what you want to do. Fear come from the word scared of something to be afraid. my fear is am afraid i may not make it and to college in get a degree in my out but the fear is not college but not making it.

Figure 2
Student Writing Sample—After Instruction

10/27/93
Michael

Cartoonist

I would like to be a Cartoonist. I know I am a good artist and I have a lot of imagination. My writing skills will help me when I need to make people talk.

I would like to become a Cartoonist because I like to draw pictures of people, animals, and cars. People around me think that I can't become a Cartoonist because I did bad things when I was young. Also because I had bad grades and school and my teacher thinks I won't make it.

When I become a cartoonist I will make up different things like animals, people, and cars. I would like to work for Warner Brothers. I would ask Warner Brothers if I could make a cartoon of a teen living in the city and his family is a little poor and his friends sell drugs, this boy doesn't want to and he has a job and he has brothers and a girl friend. His father is dead and his mother is trying to get a job.

The theme of the cartoon would be a young teen trying to stay out of trouble.

Figure 3
Student Writing Sample—Before Instruction

9/17/93
David H.

what makes me angry
when people do not believe And
they talked ... with me sometimes
they want to fight so i say what ever floats
your boat. make your move But dont
not slave thing so i will get angry taking
friends they help out in some ways
my mom always said the Bigger they are
the fall. so do not people get angry
because it is a thing to do so please stop
before a lot of people get
Kill.

Figure 4
Student Writing Sample—After Instruction

12/10/93
David H.

It was three days before Christmas
and Santa was very sick. The little kids
had their sacks on their fireplace ready for
Santa, but they thought Santa was not going to
deliver their presents because they heard he
was sick.

"What do you want me to do?" said
Mrs. Claus. "Go get me my bag," and in
his bag he had some medicine. He went
to sleep for awhile. Then when he got up he
felt good. Then he started to get the presents ready.
Santa Claus was worried because he knew that
David H.'s bad men were coming to stop him.

Santa tried to leave before they came.
When Santa was going to his shop, David H. and
the bad guys were there. They said, "If you
move, I will steal your reindeer."

Please don't steal my reindeer, because the kids
need their toys. Then the bad guys said I
will not steal your reindeer if you give me and
my family gifts. Santa said, "I only give gifts
to good boys and girls. So David asked, 'How can
I change?' Then Santa said, 'You can start by
saying you are sorry for what you tried to do.' Then
Santa said, 'Come with me.' They got the Sleigh.
They started going to deliver
gifts to the people. After they delivered
all the gifts, Santa went to David H.'s
house. He told David's wife he tried to
stop Christmas. They had a talk. Santa did
give them gifts. Then he went back to
the North pole.

David and his friends learned
what Christmas was about.

Table 3

Average Reading and Comprehension Gains After Phonics Instruction

Facility	Session	Average Gain in Reading	Average Gain in Comprehension
Green Hill School, WA	Fall 1993 (I)	2 grade levels	2 grade levels
Green Hill School, WA	Fall 1993 (II)	3 grade levels	3.25 grade levels
Phoenix School, OH	Summer 1993	1.5 grade levels	1.5 grade levels
Phoenix School, OH	Fall 1993	2.5 grade levels	2.5 grade levels
Camp Raulston, OH	Fall 1993	2 grade levels	1.5 grade levels

Analysis

Designed to teach illiterate youth to read and write, these programs offer a nontraditional, motivational approach that provides students with immediate positive feedback and then encourages them to strive for success. The approach—not customarily found in schools—is noteworthy because frequently a juvenile offender's sense of inadequacy has been reinforced by the experience of academic failure.

The programs employ a progression of logically sequenced, multisensory lessons. A large part of the curriculum focuses on the development, integration, and application of phonics. Reading and writing skills are readily developed once the foundation in phonics has been laid.

For further information, contact:

Professor Jane Hodges, Ed.D.
Department of Education
Mississippi University for Women
P.O. Box 250
Columbus, MS 39701
205-373-6663

Ms. Nancy Giuliotti, Executive Director
Nellie Thomas Institute of Learning
411 Pacific Street, Suite 320
Monterey, CA 93940
408-647-1274

F.M. Porpotage II, Assistant Director
Training and Technical
Assistance Division
Office of Juvenile Justice and
Delinquency Prevention
633 Indiana Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20531
202-307-5940

A 28-minute videotape discussing the activities of the projects described in this bulletin is available from the Juvenile Justice Clearinghouse for \$12.95. To order a copy of *Retarding America—The Imprisonment of Potential* (NCJ 146605), write the Juvenile Justice Clearinghouse, Box 6000, Rockville, Maryland 20850 or call 800-638-8736.

This bulletin was prepared under grant numbers 91-JS-CX-0002 and 91-JS-CX-0003 from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), U.S. Department of Justice.

Points of view or opinions expressed in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of OJJDP or the U.S. Department of Justice.

The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention is a component of the Office of Justice Programs, which also includes the Bureau of Justice Assistance, the Bureau of Justice Statistics, the National Institute of Justice, and the Office for Victims of Crime.

NCJ 150707

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

Washington, D.C. 20531

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

BULK RATE
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
DOJ/OJDP
Permit No. G-91